Vol. 3 No.2 2025

RECOGNIZED IN "Y" CATEGORY BY

Research Consortium Archive
P(ISSN) : 3007-0031
E(ISSN) : 3007-004X
https://rc-archive.com/index.php/Journal/about

THE SHOCK DOCTRINE REVISITED: CLIMATE CATASTROPHE AND THE POSTCOLONIAL STATE AS A SITE OF NEOLIBERAL DISASTER GOVERNANCE

Aiman Rubab Azmat
GC University, Lahore. aimanrubabazmat@gmail.com

Publisher : EDUCATION GENIUS SOLUTIONS
Review Type: Double Blind Peer Review

ABSTRACT

This research revisits Naomi Klein's *shock doctrine* framework to examine the ways in which climate-induced catastrophes are increasingly instrumentalized to impose neoliberal economic reforms in postcolonial and semi-colonial contexts. It argues that extreme weather events—while often framed as apolitical environmental crises—function as critical junctures for the reconfiguration of state-citizen relations, land use, and public sector responsibilities in favor of market-centric models. Grounded in **Postcolonial State Theory** (Chatterjee, 2004), **Ecological Marxism** (Foster, 2000), and the framework of **Racial Capitalism** (Robinson, 1983), the study interrogates how disaster governance regimes enable technocratic rule, erode democratic accountability, and deepen structural inequalities through mechanisms such as privatization, debt-financing, and elite land capture. Focusing on comparative case studies from **Pakistan**, **Mozambique**, and **Puerto Rico etc**, the paper analyzes how institutions such as the World Bank, IMF, and domestic ruling classes deploy the language of "resilience," "green recovery," and "adaptation finance" to justify interventions that benefit investors while marginalizing disaster-affected communities. These case studies demonstrate how disasters serve as legitimizing tools for the expansion of neoliberal governance—where recovery efforts become sites of profit-making, land speculation, and securitized control rather than community-led rebuilding. The research aims to contribute to the growing field of **climate justice** by foregrounding the role of political economy, historical power asymmetries, and ideological narratives in shaping climate responses. It ultimately calls for a reimagining of **postcolonial sovereignty** rooted in ecological equity, participatory governance, and reparative justice, challenging the use of climate emergencies as gateways to deepen global capitalism's reach into the most vulnerable regions of the world.

Introduction

The accelerating impacts of climate change are unfolding against the backdrop of deepening global inequality, ecological collapse, and the rise of authoritarian modes of governance. These overlapping crises are most acutely felt in the Global South, where vulnerability to climate disasters cannot be understood in isolation from the legacies of colonial rule, racialized economic structures, and patterns of uneven development. In countries like Pakistan, Mozambique, and Puerto Rico, climate events such as floods, cyclones, and hurricanes have done more than alter physical environments—they have begun to reshape political and economic frameworks in lasting ways.

Increasingly, the management of such disasters has moved beyond the realm of emergency response and become a key site of political contestation. Who decides what gets rebuilt, where, and for whom has become a central question—one that intersects with broader struggles over sovereignty, justice, and democratic participation. In this context, Naomi Klein's concept of the "shock

doctrine" (2007) offers a powerful starting point. Klein argues that moments of crisis are frequently exploited by political and economic elites to push through neoliberal reforms—such as privatization, deregulation, and austerity—when public resistance is most difficult to mobilize. While her original analysis centered on financial crises and military coups, subsequent work by researchers and activists has extended this insight to climate-related disasters, revealing how environmental shocks can also be turned into strategic opportunities for advancing market-driven reforms (Loewenstein, 2015; Barakat, 2020).

In the postcolonial world, the dynamics of disaster capitalism are even more intricate. States like Pakistan and Mozambique operate under the weight of international financial systems while also grappling with internal divisions between elite-controlled institutions and marginalized populations. As theorized by Partha Chatterjee (2004), the state in these contexts is split between "civil society," aligned with formal structures and global capital, and "political society," composed of the poor and disenfranchised who are governed through exceptional measures. In such settings, climate disasters become twofold instruments: they displace and dispossess the vulnerable, while simultaneously enabling new forms of governance rooted in transnational investment, NGO-led development, and technocratic planning. Terms like "resilience," "green recovery," and "climate adaptation" often obscure the underlying shifts toward market-centered policy and increased surveillance, sidelining community needs and participation in favor of efficiency, profitability, and control.

This paper revisits Klein's shock doctrine thesis through the combined lenses of Postcolonial State Theory, Ecological Marxism, and Racial Capitalism to examine how climate emergencies in the Global South are mobilized to legitimize neoliberal interventions. By analyzing case studies from Pakistan, Mozambique, and Puerto Rico, the research traces how crises are used to restructure state priorities, displace communities, and reconfigure governance in the name of adaptation and recovery. In doing so, the paper aligns itself with climate justice perspectives that reject technocratic, top-down solutions and instead foreground the principles of equity, reparative justice, and grassroots empowerment in the face of global environmental breakdown.

Research Questions

1. How are climate disasters instrumentalized by international financial institutions (IFIs) and postcolonial elites to implement neoliberal policies?
2. What role does the postcolonial state play in mediating between transnational capital and disaster-affected populations?
3. In what ways does the governance of climate catastrophe replicate or exacerbate colonial power dynamics?
4. How can the postcolonial state restructure its climate response toward justice-oriented and democratic models?

Objectives

- To analyze the political economy of disaster governance in postcolonial states.
- To examine how neoliberal reforms are legitimized through climate catastrophes.
- To apply Postcolonial State Theory and Ecological Marxism to contemporary disaster interventions.
- To highlight alternative models of just and participatory climate governance.

Literature Review

Naomi Klein and the Shock Doctrine

Naomi Klein's influential book *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism* (2007) introduced the concept of shock as a political tool—arguing that crises, whether political, economic, or environmental, are often exploited by powerful actors to advance neoliberal agendas. According to Klein, these moments of collective disorientation are not incidental but are strategically used to dismantle public institutions, introduce austerity, and enforce privatization policies that would otherwise face public resistance. Her framework exposes how moments of trauma and upheaval are not politically neutral, but fertile ground for economic restructuring aligned with corporate and elite interests.

While Klein's original analysis focused on events like the Pinochet dictatorship in Chile or the aftermath of the Iraq War, subsequent scholars have extended her critique to natural disasters and public health crises. For example, Antony Loewenstein (2015) examines how post-disaster environments—such as the Haiti earthquake (2010) and Hurricane Katrina (2005)—became sites of corporate profiteering and international donor opportunism. Similarly, Barakat (2020) explores the application of shock doctrine logic during the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting how states used emergency powers to expand surveillance, roll back labor protections, and deepen neoliberal reforms under the guise of crisis management. These studies reveal that disaster capitalism is increasingly ecological and planetary in scope, particularly in the context of climate change.

Postcolonial State Theory

Partha Chatterjee's (2004) work *The Politics of the Governed* presents a nuanced understanding of the postcolonial state by distinguishing between two modes of governance: *civil society*, where laws, rights, and institutional order prevail, and *political society*, which includes the informal, marginalized populations who often live outside legal recognition. Chatterjee argues that while civil society actors engage with the state through formal procedures, political society navigates governance through improvisation, negotiation, and often exclusion. This dichotomy is especially relevant to climate disaster governance in postcolonial nations. In states like Pakistan, for example, disaster recovery strategies are usually crafted within the technocratic circles of civil society—elite planners, bureaucrats, and foreign

consultants—while the actual victims of climate shocks, such as those in rural Sindh or Balochistan, are rarely included in decision-making processes. Research by Akhtar (2018) illustrates how urban-centric development schemes post-flood prioritized elite real estate investments while sidelining the rehabilitation needs of displaced villagers. Chatterjee's theory, therefore, helps reveal how postcolonial governance continues to reproduce exclusions rooted in colonial hierarchies.

Ecological Marxism

John Bellamy Foster's reinterpretation of Karl Marx in *Marx's Ecology* (2000) inaugurated a critical strand of ecological thought that sees capitalism as inherently unsustainable due to its alienated relationship with nature. The concept of the "metabolic rift"—the rupture between human society and ecological systems—is central to this analysis. According to Foster, capitalist development operates on the assumption of limitless resource extraction and externalized environmental costs, thus systematically degrading ecosystems.

In this framework, climate disasters are not random or natural but expressions of a deeper structural contradiction in capitalist accumulation. As Foster and Clark (2018) argue, the Anthropocene is not a result of humanity writ large, but of capitalist industrialization concentrated in the Global North. From this vantage point, post-disaster "recovery" projects—especially those sponsored by institutions like the IMF and World Bank—often serve to further entrench neoliberal economic arrangements in the Global South. These include austerity measures, infrastructure privatization, and commodification of adaptation strategies such as carbon trading or insurance schemes. Thus, ecological Marxism reveals how post-disaster interventions often work to deepen rather than resolve the socio-ecological crises they purport to address.

Racial Capitalism and Climate Apartheid

Cedric Robinson's theory of racial capitalism (1983) extends Marxist critique by emphasizing how capitalist systems are inherently racialized, rooted historically in colonialism, slavery, and imperial domination. In the context of climate change, this theoretical lens helps explain how racial hierarchies continue to shape who bears the brunt of ecological degradation and who reaps the benefits of recovery.

Recent scholarship has coined the term *climate apartheid* to describe how climate adaptation and mitigation efforts are often designed to protect wealthier, typically whiter populations, while poorer and racialized communities are exposed to disproportionate risk. Sheller (2020) demonstrates this dynamic in the Caribbean, where disaster aid disproportionately favors urban and tourism-centered areas, leaving rural and indigenous populations excluded or forcibly relocated. Similarly, Bond (2018) critiques the global climate finance regime—especially carbon markets and resilience bonds—as mechanisms that privilege profit and creditworthiness over justice and equity.

Racial capitalism thus provides a framework for understanding how climate disasters are not simply events, but nodes in a longer historical trajectory of racialized extraction, displacement, and disposability. It adds a crucial layer to Klein's shock doctrine by showing how exclusion and exploitation are not incidental, but structurally embedded within climate governance regimes.

Methodology

This research employs a **qualitative, multi-method approach** combining critical discourse analysis (CDA) with comparative case study analysis to examine how disaster capitalism operates across different postcolonial and semi-colonial contexts. These methods are selected to unpack both the ideological narratives and institutional mechanisms that shape climate disaster governance.

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), informed by the work of Norman Fairclough (1995) and Teun van Dijk (1998), serves as the primary analytic tool to investigate how key concepts—such as "resilience," "adaptation," "green reconstruction," and "public-private partnership"—are deployed in policy documents, media reports, and institutional statements. CDA allows for the deconstruction of language to reveal hidden power relations and ideological commitments, particularly the normalization of market-driven solutions in post-disaster scenarios.

In parallel, a **comparative case study methodology** (George & Bennett, 2005) is utilized to analyze three emblematic climate disasters: the 2022 floods in Pakistan, Cyclone Idai in Mozambique (2019), and Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico (2017). These cases were selected using purposive sampling due to their shared features: large-scale climate devastation, foreign financial intervention, and contested recovery processes. By comparing these cases across diverse geopolitical and colonial histories, the study identifies structural patterns in disaster governance while accounting for local specificities.

The data corpus comprises a blend of **primary and secondary sources**. Primary sources include institutional reports from the World Bank, IMF, and UNDP between 2018 and 2024, particularly their disaster response frameworks, funding strategies, and conditional loan programs. Technical appendices and policy recommendations were closely analyzed for ideological framing and governance assumptions. National policy documents, parliamentary debates, and government-issued recovery plans from Pakistan and Mozambique were reviewed to assess elite narratives and state responses.

Secondary sources include peer-reviewed scholarship in climate justice, postcolonial theory, and political economy, which inform the theoretical scaffolding of the research. Investigative journalism from outlets such as *The Guardian*, *Al Jazeera*, *Dawn*, and *The New York Times* is used to capture ground-level perspectives and to contrast official accounts with lived realities.

To ensure analytical rigor, the study employs **triangulation**,

cross-verifying data across institutional, academic, and journalistic domains. This approach minimizes interpretive bias and enhances the credibility of the findings. Rather than offering merely descriptive case studies, the methodology seeks to uncover the underlying ideological logics that render disaster a profitable terrain for global capital and a site of exclusion for the marginalized.

Climate Catastrophes as Neoliberal Gateways: Case Studies

In recent years, climate-related disasters have not merely exposed infrastructural vulnerabilities—they have been actively harnessed to reshape political and economic structures in ways that consolidate neoliberal reforms. Especially in the Global South, such events have become critical junctures at which state and international actors advance market-driven agendas under the banner of recovery and resilience. This section examines five case studies where climate catastrophes served as pivotal moments for elite capture, policy restructuring, and the marginalization of vulnerable communities.

1. Pakistan's 2022 Floods: Between Climate Aid and Structural Reform

The monsoon floods that devastated Pakistan in 2022 affected more than 33 million people and inflicted damages estimated at over $30 billion (World Bank, 2023). In the disaster's aftermath, much of the international aid came not as grants or reparations but as debt-based instruments tied to structural reforms. Multilateral lenders such as the IMF and World Bank emphasized liberalization measures, including subsidy cuts, energy sector privatization, and the expansion of public-private partnerships (UNDP, 2023).

While official narratives focused on climate resilience and urban redevelopment, these efforts disproportionately benefitted commercial centers in Karachi and Lahore. In contrast, rural areas like South Punjab and Sindh—where displacement was most severe—remained neglected. Reconstruction projects often involved climate finance mechanisms such as carbon credits, enabling both foreign and local investors to monetize land previously occupied by displaced populations. The disaster thus enabled the state and private actors to push forward long-standing neoliberal reforms under the cover of humanitarian aid.

2. Cyclone Idai and Financialization in Mozambique

Cyclone Idai, which struck Mozambique in 2019, caused widespread devastation across southeastern Africa, leaving over 1,000 dead and millions homeless. At the time, Mozambique was grappling with unsustainable public debt. In response to the cyclone, new financial instruments—including catastrophe bonds and resilience financing packages—were introduced by international financial institutions.

While heralded as innovative, these mechanisms transferred much of the financial burden back onto the state, entrenching dependency and indebtedness. Urban redevelopment, particularly in Maputo, prioritized elite economic zones, while poorer provinces like Sofala saw limited infrastructural support. In parallel, post-disaster land law reforms facilitated large-scale land leasing to

agribusiness firms, displacing smallholder communities. The crisis became a conduit for deepening financialization and privatization within Mozambique's post-disaster governance framework.

3. Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico: Restructuring Under Austerity

Puerto Rico's experience following Hurricane Maria in 2017 illustrates how disaster can serve as a justification for sweeping neoliberal interventions. In the hurricane's wake, the U.S. Congress imposed a financial oversight board through the PROMESA Act, granting it extraordinary control over the island's economy.

Public services faced severe cutbacks: hundreds of schools were closed, pensions reduced, and the public electricity utility was privatized. Simultaneously, hedge funds and private investors acquired distressed assets—particularly real estate and energy infrastructure—at discounted rates. Despite the scale of human suffering, the reconstruction effort prioritized fiscal discipline and market logic. Rather than enabling equitable recovery, Maria's aftermath became a vehicle for economic restructuring aligned with external creditor interests.

4. Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines: Humanitarian Aid as Spatial Control

In 2013, Typhoon Haiyan ravaged the central Philippines, displacing millions and triggering a massive international humanitarian response. However, much of the reconstruction was carried out by foreign contractors and NGOs, often sidelining local authorities and community-based approaches.

A significant portion of aid was directed toward formal housing projects that ignored cultural and social contexts. The declaration of "no-build zones" along coastal areas led to the eviction of informal settlements—opening these lands to real estate and tourism development. The military was deployed to enforce these exclusions, effectively converting a humanitarian emergency into an opportunity for land clearance and investment. Thus, under the guise of risk mitigation, disaster recovery reshaped spatial hierarchies and reinforced social exclusions.

5. Haiti Post-2010 Earthquake: External Control Through Aid

Although the 2010 earthquake in Haiti was a geological event, its aftermath exemplifies the patterns found in climate-related disaster governance. With over $13 billion pledged by international donors, expectations for reconstruction were high. Yet, less than 1% of these funds were channeled through Haitian institutions. Instead, foreign NGOs and multinational contractors dominated the reconstruction process.

While basic services remained inaccessible to many displaced communities, large-scale projects such as luxury hotels and garment export zones received swift investment. Governance was heavily influenced by international figures, including the Clinton-led Interim Haiti Recovery Commission. This shift eroded national sovereignty and reduced the Haitian state to a peripheral actor in its own reconstruction. The result was a landscape where aid operated more

like occupation than solidarity.

Neoliberalism and Postcolonial Brokerage

In each of these contexts, postcolonial elites played an instrumental role in translating international neoliberal agendas into local practice. In Pakistan, for instance, powerful real estate developers with ties to the military and bureaucracy diverted recovery resources toward profitable urban schemes and elite housing projects. These developments reflect what could be described as "disaster feudalism," where catastrophes entrench pre-existing power asymmetries rather than rectify them.

Racial Capitalism and Global Hierarchies of Disaster

Global patterns of aid and recovery reveal how disaster governance is shaped not only by market ideology but by racial and colonial legacies. Wealthy nations often frame climate financing as risk management rather than reparations, resisting calls for meaningful accountability. Meanwhile, border regimes harden, treating climate migrants as security threats rather than displaced victims.

In this context, Cedric Robinson's concept of racial capitalism proves crucial. It explains how the burdens of ecological breakdown are racialized—borne by those whose historical dispossession underpins current global inequalities. Whether through border militarization, techno-surveillance, or exclusion from climate funds, the climate crisis reproduces global apartheid.

Permanent Emergency and Authoritarian Drift

As climate events increase in frequency, states are normalizing emergency governance. Measures such as curfews, centralized executive orders, and suspension of environmental protections are increasingly justified as necessary for disaster response. In India, such tools were used to accelerate environmental deregulation under the pretense of "ease of doing business."

This shift raises profound questions about democratic erosion. Populations are not only governed through policy but increasingly through data, algorithms, and predictive models. These tools obscure accountability, transforming the citizen into a unit of risk rather than a bearer of rights. Climate governance, under this paradigm, becomes less about justice and more about control.

Statistical Overview

Country	Disaster Year	Economic Loss ($B)	Affected People	Loans/IMF Support	Neoliberal Reforms Enforced
Pakistan	2022	$30	33 million	$3.5B	Energy hikes, land reform
Mozambique	2019	$2.2	2 million	$0.5B	Austerity, insurance bonds
Puerto Rico	2017	$90	3.5 million	$8B (US)	Privatization, pension cuts

(Data Source: IMF, World Bank, UNDP, 2023)

Toward Just Climate Governance: Reclaiming Sovereignty from Below

In light of the increasing appropriation of climate disasters as moments to enforce neoliberal restructuring, it becomes imperative to reconsider the idea of sovereignty in postcolonial settings. Traditional approaches—whether modeled on technocratic globalism or insulated nationalism—are proving inadequate in the face of ecological breakdown. What is urgently needed is a new model of governance that does not merely aim to manage crises but transforms how states, societies, and ecosystems relate to each other.

This reimagined sovereignty must center democratic participation, environmental justice, and collective care. It must move beyond the existing frameworks where elites dictate climate responses through opaque institutions or where global agencies impose conditionalities in the name of adaptation. A meaningful response to climate collapse must empower communities, decentralize authority, and embed justice at the heart of governance. This transformation includes several key shifts:

1. People-Led Recovery Models

Disaster response must be restructured to prioritize those directly affected by climate events. Instead of leaving recovery in the hands of global consultants or financial technocrats, power must be redistributed to the grassroots. Community assemblies, participatory resource allocation, and neighborhood-level adaptation plans can reshape recovery from the ground up. This ensures that aid is not only more accountable but also more responsive to lived realities and urgent needs.

2. From Climate Debt to Climate Justice

Many countries in the Global South are forced to borrow in order to rebuild after climate catastrophes caused largely by emissions from wealthier nations. This arrangement is neither just nor sustainable. The global financial system must move away from debt-based aid and embrace principles of restitution. Mechanisms such as unconditional grants, debt cancellation, and reparations must replace austerity-led "resilience" packages. Financial flows should serve the needs of the impacted, not reinforce cycles of dependency

and control.

3. Ecological Rights and Climate Citizenship

A broader understanding of citizenship is needed—one that extends beyond nationality and legal status to encompass ecological belonging and responsibility. This framework must guarantee rights for displaced communities, protect the commons, and prioritize the long-term well-being of people and the planet. In such a vision, mobility becomes a right, not a threat; and the preservation of ecosystems is recognized as essential to democratic life. This reconfiguration of sovereignty—from a tool of state control to a platform for environmental stewardship and social equity—is essential if postcolonial societies are to escape the logic of managed decline and climate-induced dispossession.

Conclusion

The accelerating climate crisis is not only an environmental emergency—it is a profound political challenge that demands a reckoning with how power, governance, and justice are organized in the postcolonial world. As this paper has shown, climate-related disasters are increasingly exploited as opportunities to impose neoliberal reforms under the guise of recovery. This is particularly evident in the Global South, where economic vulnerabilities and historical dependencies allow financial institutions, donor agencies, and domestic elites to treat catastrophe as a chance to push forward market-driven agendas.

By revisiting Naomi Klein's concept of the shock doctrine and integrating insights from postcolonial theory, ecological Marxism, and racial capitalism, this study reveals how disaster capitalism has become a defining feature of contemporary climate governance. Far from responding to human suffering with care and reparative justice, dominant climate policies often intensify inequality, commodify loss, and expand authoritarian forms of rule. These responses do not simply emerge in the aftermath of disaster—they are embedded in a broader system of global power that has long treated the Global South as a space for experimentation, extraction, and containment. Yet resistance is possible—and increasingly visible. From peasant unions opposing land grabs in Mozambique to urban collectives rebuilding in post-flood Pakistan, movements are emerging that challenge the logic of elite-led adaptation. These movements point toward an alternative political horizon: one in which climate governance is democratic, reparative, and rooted in the lived experiences of those on the frontlines of ecological collapse.

Reclaiming this horizon will require more than technocratic reform. It demands the fundamental transformation of how sovereignty is imagined and enacted. Postcolonial sovereignty must become a project of collective emancipation—one that defends not only borders, but the right to life, land, and ecological dignity. This vision rejects austerity, refuses debt dependency, and centers the wisdom and leadership of marginalized communities. Ultimately, the question is not whether climate governance will change—it

already is. The real question is who will shape that change: the architects of disaster capitalism or the movements struggling to build a more just, livable world. The future, in this sense, remains radically open.

References

Akhtar, A. S. (2018). *The Politics of Common Sense: State, Society and Culture in Pakistan*. Cambridge University Press.

Barakat, S. (2020). The neoliberal appropriation of disaster recovery: Aid, reconstruction and disaster capitalism. *Third World Quarterly*, 41(2), 301–320. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2019.1665017

Bond, P. (2018). *Politics of Climate Justice: Paralysis Above, Movement Below*. University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.

Bretton Woods Project. (2020). Disaster capitalism in Mozambique: Cyclone Idai and the push for financialization. https://www.brettonwoodsproject.org/

Center for Puerto Rican Studies. (2019). *Puerto Rico post-Maria migration trends*. Hunter College.

Chatterjee, P. (2004). *The Politics of the Governed: Reflections on Popular Politics in Most of the World*. Columbia University Press.

Foster, J. B. (2000). *Marx's Ecology: Materialism and Nature*. Monthly Review Press.

Klein, N. (2007). *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*. Metropolitan Books.

Klein, N. (2018). *The Battle for Paradise: Puerto Rico Takes on the Disaster Capitalists*. Haymarket Books.

Loewenstein, A. (2015). *Disaster Capitalism: Making a Killing Out of Catastrophe*. Verso.

Robinson, C. J. (1983). *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. University of North Carolina Press.

Sheller, M. (2020). *Island Futures: Caribbean Survival in the Anthropocene*. Duke University Press.

UNDP. (2023). *Pakistan Flood Recovery Framework 2023–2025*. https://www.undp.org/

World Bank. (2023). *Pakistan Climate Resilient Recovery Plan*. https://www.worldbank.org/

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs.